

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

- TO LOW NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,226	01/17/2002	Michio Takahashi	791_107 CIP	3497
	500 02/27/2004			INER
25191 75 BURR & BRO	390		WILLS, MONIQUE M	
PO BOX 7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SYRACUSE,	NY 13261-7068		1746	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H_				
` -	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assign Comments	10/052,226	TAKAHASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wills M Monique	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed b) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	nuary 2002.	1				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		:				
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eeived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/052,226

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement(s) filed April 8, 2003 & June 24, 2003 has/have been received and complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP \S 609 .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nemoto et al. U.S. Patent 6,368,750.

Nemoto teaches a lithium secondary battery, wherein lithium manganese oxide is used as a positive active material (col. 4, lines 15-25). With respect to claim 1, Nemoto teaches: a lithium manganese oxide used as a positive active material (col. 4, lines 15-25); said lithium manganese oxide has a cubic spinel structure (col. 3, lines 40-45); and the manganese oxide has a formula Li $(M_{1(x1)} M_{2(x2)} M_{3(x3)} ... M_{m(xm)})_x Mn_{2-x} O_4$, wherein M1 is Ti, $M_{2(x2)} = Mg$ and $M_{3(x3)} ... M_{m(xm)}) = 0$ (Table 1). In Embodiment 2, x1 = 0.5, x2 = 0.5, x = 0.10 yielding the composition Li $(Mg_{0.5}Ti_{0.5})_{0.10}Mn_{1.90}O_4$ (Table 1). With

Application/Control Number: 10/052,226

Art Unit: 1746

respect to claim 2, the Li/Mn ratio is 0.53 (Table 1). With respect to claims 3-5, the claims are product-by-process claims, that require making lithium manganese oxide by firing a mixture of salts and/or oxide(s). Even though product - by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product - by - process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ. In the instant case, even though the oxide material was made by different processes, claims 3-5 are unpatentable because the oxide of Nemoto is the same as the subject invention.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

8:30am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,226

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, may be reached at 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mw

02/12/04

BRUCE F. BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1**146**